UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/568,446 | 09/15/2006 | Lennart Myhrberg | N8100.0003/P003 | 1206 |
| 24998 DICKSTEIN SI | 7590 11/06/200 HAPIRO LLP | 9 | EXAMINER | |
| 1825 EYE STR | EET NW | | SORKIN, DAVID L | |
| Washington, DC 20006-5403 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1797 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/06/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/568,446 | MYHRBERG ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | DAVID L. SORKIN | 1797 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Desions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 u | lulv 2009 | | | | | |
| - | | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| - , | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice (3) Information | t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/568,446 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bosch et al. (US 6,241,472) in view of Rains et al. (US 4,209,259). Regarding claims 1 and 7, Bosch ('472) discloses a device comprising an inner stationary ring (the inner most ring of 40) and a concentric outer ring (one of the rings of 38), the rings arranged with a close fit and having a plurality of through recesses opposing each other (see Fig. 3). It is not explicitly disclosed that the device is driven without a shaft. Rains explains that it is beneficial to drive a rotor in a vessel magnetically, using a drive unit outside the vessel without a shaft, because no seals are required (see col. 5 line 66 to col. 6 line 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have driven the rotor of Bosch in this manner to achieve the benefit of eliminating the need for seals. Regarding claims 2 and 3, the shearing recesses are otherwise shaped holes (see Fig. 3).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments don't really address the actual grounds for rejection.

Applicant focuses upon the limitation in claim 1, "the processing unit (12) comprises a stationary inner part (14) and rotatable about this, an outer part (15)". In Bosch, the

Application/Control Number: 10/568,446 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

embodiment of Fig. 3, the rotor (38) has two concentric rings of teeth (42) and the stator (40) has three concentric rings of teeth (44). As explained in col. 1, lines 36-44, the rotor and stator fit together such that the teeth of the rotor are interleaved with the teeth of the stator. The innermost ring of the stator of Bosch anticipates the claim limitation "a stationary inner part" and the outermost ring of the rotor of Bosch anticipates the claim limitation "and rotatable about this and outer part".

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID L. SORKIN whose telephone number is (571)272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30AM-4:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,446 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter D. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/DAVID L. SORKIN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797